Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

David Sterry, MT(ASCP) Director, Standards, CLSI

> KEYPOCC Meeting Kennett Square, PA June 15, 2012



Our Common Goal: Quality Health Care



CLINICAL AND LABORATORY STANDARDS INSTITUTE®

Today's Presentation

- CLSI background information
- Membership and volunteers
- Document development process
- Crosswalks with the College of American Pathologists (CAP) and The Joint Commission
- Quality management systems
- Point-of-care testing (POCT) documents and companion products
- POCT10-A2—Physician and Nonphysician Provider-Performed Microscopy Testing; Approved Guideline— Second Edition



CLSI Background

- Established in 1968
- Nonprofit corporation based in the United States
- Accredited standards-developing organization
- An organization of organizations –(no individual memberships)



CLSI Organizational Chart



CLSI Recognized Worldwide





Vision

To be the leader in clinical and laboratory standards to improve the **quality of medical care**.









Mission

To develop best practices in clinical and laboratory testing and promote their use throughout the world, using a consensus-driven process that balances the viewpoints of industry, government, and the health care professions.





CLSI Consensus Process





CLSI Consensus Process

- Meetings are open to everyone.
- Meeting materials are available to participants and interested parties.
- A balance of interests is maintained.
- Conflicts of interest are fully disclosed.
- An appeals process exists to address issues or concerns.



Standardization in the Medical Laboratory

the right laboratory test at the right time with the right result leads to quality diagnostics, improved patient care, and improved public health around the world





CLSI Products

- Standards
- Guidelines
- Reports
- Companion Products
 - -Quick Guides
 - -Toolkits
 - -Specialty Collections
 - -DVDs
 - -Software





Standards and the Laboratory

 Most medical laboratory errors are caused by systems and process issues, not people.



 These are the areas where standards can help the most.



Standards Development Consensus

A **consensus** standard or guideline is a document developed to promote uniform products, materials, methods, or practices.



Membership and Volunteers

Over 600 non–North American members and volunteers from over 70 countries, and growing





CLSI Members and Volunteers

Diverse representation from three constituencies

| Industry | Government | Professions |
|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| <i>In vitro d</i> iagnostics manufacturers | Public health agencies | Hospitals and laboratories |
| Laboratory information systems vendors | Regulatory bodies | Health care delivery systems |
| Startup companies | Accrediting organizations | Educational institutions |
| Suppliers | | Professional societies |
| Trade organizations | | |



Members and Volunteers





Saudi Arabia South Africa Spain Sweden Taiwan Trinidad/Tobago C Turkey United Kingdom United States



CLSI Consensus Committees

- Automation and Informatics
- Clinical Chemistry
 and Toxicology
- Evaluation
 Protocols
- Hematology

- Immunology and Ligand Assay
- Microbiology
- Molecular Methods
- Point-of-Care Testing
- Quality Systems and Laboratory Practices



Committee Structure

- Chairholder
- Vice-Chairholder
- Members
- Advisors
- Contributors
- Reviewers
- Project Manager/Staff Liaison (Executive Offices' staff)



The Document Development Process

Project Idea → Published Document



Idea → Document Project

- Identified need/project idea
- Project proposal developed
- Assessment/endorsement by consensus committee
- Call for volunteers
- Document development committee (DDC) membership established
- Business plan developed
- Presentation to Chairholders Council
- Project authorization



Document Development Process

- The document development process begins with the inaugural webinar for the DDC.
- Refine expanded outline.
- Introduce draft text to support scope and outline points.
- Prepare text for submission as a preliminary draft document.



Five Voting Stages

- Voting Stage 1: Draft 1 for document development committee approval
- Voting Stage 2: Draft 2 for approval by delegates; review and comment by consensus committee, board of directors, and nonmembers
 - Replaces proposed-level documents, which are no longer published
 - Provided to member organizations at no cost



Five Voting Stages (cont'd)

- Voting Stage 3: Draft 3 for document development committee approval
- Voting Stage 4: Draft 4 for review and approval by consensus committee
- Voting Stage 5: Final Draft for consensus committee approval to publish



Two Timeline Tracks

Track 1 – 15-month timeline Track 2 – 25-month timeline

Timeline determined by:

- Scope
- Complexity
- Comprehensiveness and depth
- Degree of controversy



CLSI Publications – Approved Level

| Automation | 21 |
|-----------------------|----|
| Clinical Chemistry | 24 |
| Evaluation Protocols | 17 |
| Hematology | 29 |
| Immunology | 26 |
| Microbiology | 42 |
| Point-of-Care Testing | 8 |
| Quality Systems | 38 |
| Molecular Methods | 16 |
| | |

Total

221



Document Review Schedule

Within five years after its approval, the appropriate consensus committee(s) initiates a review to determine the necessary action to reaffirm, revise, archive, or withdraw an approved consensus document.



Crosswalks with CAP and The Joint Commission



Adoption of Documents Into Accreditation Crosswalk

| STANDARDS NSTITUTE® | / | | | / / | | | | | | (M) | | | | Wezz | | | |
|------------------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|--------------------------------|------------|---|----------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------------------|------------|----------|---------|-----------|
| | | 680 | | R) (CH | 811 | | / | Tos | mit | N. A. | / . | and the | A | | 10. | 200 | A (PL) |
| / | .9 | Hence | A P | a dout - | ã | 1 .5 | a la | 19/11 | lating | 150 | | C.C. | Jato | | A CAN'S | BH- | ator |
| | A PEN | / | 110109. TO | Her CHO | A.C. | Jell' | 1855 | COSE | itility | (INTRA) | enero | 58 24 | . Wiles | atholog | 1851 | 1200 | ASSEL |
| تعر | ILLE. | ile? | S. A. | netics the | phy. intor | NB- O | In The | Hanne Ma | du olor | M tory | C. CE | NAL ION | 13 ¹ 131 | No. Si | are unit | NE BRID | al sion |
| SIDO | | atoni | entisator | an atopart | 3 ⁴⁴ G ⁴ | IPITSIT. 0 | mator | storo. | munt | 00121. | nites a | CTODI. | olecut. | Int.O. | DIOD | amilia | anstur it |
| | 4 | G | <u> </u> | জ | ~~~ | - W | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | . " | | | . 4 | 4 | ~~~ | ~ <i>K</i> | N | | V. |
| AUT002-A2 | | | | | | | | | · · · | | | | | | | | |
| A01003-A2 | | | | | | | | | ~ | | | | | | | | |
| 003-74 | | | | | | | | | ~ | | | | | | | | |
| 000 40- | | | | | | | | | | | ~ | V | | V | | | |
| G28-A30 | ~ | V | | ~ | | V | | V | V | V | | | V | | | | ~ |
| C30-A2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | ~ | | | | |
| C34-A3 | | ~ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C43-A2 | | ~ | | | ~ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C46-A2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | V | | | | |
| C49-A | | | | | | | | | V † | | | | | | | | |
| C54-A | | V | | | | | | V | | V | | | | | | V | V |
| T/DM06-A | | V | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| EP05-A2 | | V | | | | V | | V | | V | | | | | | | |
| EP07-A2 | | V | | | | | | V | V | | | | | | | | V |
| EP09-A2-IR | | | | | | V | | | V | V | | | | | | | |
| EP10-A3 | | V | | | | | | | V | V | V | | | | | | |
| EP13-R# | | V | | V | | V | V | | | V | | V | | | | | |
| EP14-A2 | | V | | | | V | | V | | V | V | V | | | | | |
| EP15-A2 | | V | | | | V | | V | | V | | | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | - | | |

Based on CAP Accreditation Checklist July 2011 Edition * Document has been archived.

* Noted, not referenced.

#Electronic only.

Page 1 of 4



CLSI Documents Referenced to The Joint Commission

| | (Quality Nonwa | *QSA System Asse lived Testing) | ssment for Chapter | ss Control) dentification; inerfinacedures | ksj Non | nt) | puo ua | d Control) zim, and | nt) ackup plan; d retrieval | accreditation Kes; information aints, | fety Goals) E | ent) nce review; | for testing; |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| CLSI Reference Documents | I. Proficiency Testing | ll. Quality Control | III. – XXI. Technical Specialities | DC (Document and Proces test advenge and reporting potient is specimen collection, hondling and ac document retention and written polic | EC (Environment of Care) sofety, focilities, hozordous material laboratory equipment instrumentat | EM (Emergen <i>cy</i> Manageme emergency response and disaster preparedness | HR (Human Resources) personnel qualifications; orien tatio * aining; competency | IC (Infection Prevention an protection, prevention, communico bench precoutions | IM (Information Manageme) LE requirements;interruptions and b information security;data storage an | LD (Leader ship) goven ance, ethks; licensure and o or ganizational structure and servi laboratory performance; data and review and responsiveness; compl resolutions; and communications | NPSG (National Patient Saf potent ID, critical results reportion hand hygiene | PI (Performance Improvem data collection; analysis; performa corrective actions | WT (Waived Testing) QM; oversight and responsibility ; test performance |
| Molecular Methods (Continu | ued) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MM09-A | | x | x | x | | | | | | | | | |
| MMI0-A | | x | х | x | | | | | | | | | |
| MMII-A | | x | x | x | | | | | | | | | |
| MMI2-A | | x | x | x | | | | | | | | | |
| MMI3-A | | x | x | x | | | | | | | | | |
| MMI4-A | х | | х | x | | | | | | | | x | |
| MM16-A | | x | x | x | | | | | | | | | |
| MM17-A | | x | x | x | | | | | | | | | |
| MMI8-A | | x | x | x | | | | | | x | | | |
| Point-of-CareTesting | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| POCT0I-A2 | | | х | | x | | | | х | | | | x |
| POCT02-A | | | x | | x | | | | x | | | | x |
| POCT04-A2 | | x | | x | x | | | | | | | | x |
| Pocto5-A | | x | x | | x | | | | | | | x | x |
| POCT07-A | | x | x | | | | x | | x | | | x | x |
| Pocto8-a | | х | x | x | | | | | | | | | x |
| Pocto9-a | | x | x | | x | | | | | | | | x |
| Reports | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| X03-R | | | | | x | | | x | | x | | | |
| X04R | | | | | | x | | | | х | | | |
| X05-R | | x | x | x | | | | | | x | | | |



Quality Management Systems



Quality Management System

The Quality Management System (QMS) provides a framework for managing and monitoring activities to address quality standards and achieve organizational goals.



Quality Systems and the Laboratory

Principles of high-quality laboratory testing are the same anywhere in the world.

It is one area of health care that can be, and should be, highly standardized.





CLSI and the Quality Management System

CLSI produces globally recognized QMS guidelines.



- GP26-A4—Quality Management System: A Model for Laboratory Services; Approved Guideline— Fourth Edition
- "The Key to Quality"



Quality Systems Models

There are two major models for QMS used globally.

| ISO: 15189 | CLSI: GP26-A4 |
|--|--|
| Broad-based Overarching standards 15 management requirements Eight technical requirements | Specific Practical implementation guidelines 12 quality systems essentials |
| Both are built on th but differ in the amount ISO is broader and C ISO = what to do; C | ne same concepts, of specificity described. CLSI is more specific. CLSI = how to do it |



Quality Management System

QMS is a simple, systematic approach of organizing all key work processes around the path of workflow in the laboratory.



GP26-A4—Quality Management System: A Model for Laboratory Services; Approved Guideline—Fourth Edition



Global Momentum Toward QMS Adoption

40+ countries have implemented, or are in some stages of national adoption, of the QMS model approach to their laboratory services.

The World Health Organization has fully adopted the QMS approach on a global basis and is in the process of education and training.

In the United States, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services is encouraging laboratories to adopt a QMS approach to laboratory licensure and accreditation.



POCT Documents and Companion Products



Why is Point-of-Care Testing Important?

- Point-of-care testing (POCT) includes patient self testing, physician office laboratories, and hospital-based testing.
- Estimated revenues of approximately \$3 billion (USD), excluding non-IVD applications and whole blood glucose testing
- Overall IVD market growth 6 to 7% per year
- POCT market growth 10 to12% per year
- Numerous new technologies and applications entering POCT (eg, molecular methods, MRSA)

IVD Market R. Sutherland, Jan 2010



Point-of-Care Testing Guidelines

- AST04-A2—Glucose Monitoring in Settings Without Laboratory Support; Approved Guideline—Second Edition (under revision; will be published as POCT13)
- C30-A2—Point-of-Care Blood Glucose Testing in Acute and Chronic Care Facilities; Approved Guideline—Second Edition (under revision; will be published as POCT12)
- H49-A—Point-of-Care Monitoring of Anticoagulation Therapy; Approved Guideline
- POCT01-A2—Point-of-Care Connectivity; Approved Standard— Second Edition
- POCT02-A—Implementation Guide of POCT01 for Health Care Providers; Approved Guideline
- POCT04-A2—Point-of-Care In Vitro Diagnostic Testing; Approved Guideline—Second Edition (under revision)



Point-of-Care Testing Guidelines

- POCT05-A—Performance Metrics for Continuous Interstitial Glucose Monitoring; Approved Guideline
- POCT07-A—Quality Management: Approaches to Reducing Errors at the Point of Care; Approved Guideline
- POCT08-A—Quality Practices in Noninstrumented Point-of-Care Testing: An Instructional Manual and Resources for Health Care Workers; Approved Guideline
- POCT09-A—Selection Criteria for Point-of-Care Testing Devices; Approved Guideline
- POCT10-A2—Physician and Nonphysician Provider-Performed Microscopy Testing; Approved Guideline—Second Edition



Guidelines in Development

- POCT06—Guidelines on the Impact on Glucose
 Measurement When Different Sample Types Are Used
- POCT14—Point-of-Care Testing for Infectious Disease
- POCT15—Emergency and Disaster Point-of-Care Testing



Potential Projects

- Noninvasive monitoring
- Oral POCT
- Cost and advantages of POCT vs testing in main laboratory (a report)



Companion Products for POCT Documents

- POCT07—Addressing errors in point-of-care testing (reference guide)
- POCT08—Quality control troubleshooting (flow chart)
- POCT08—Corrective action report (quick guide)
- POCT08—Quality control log sheet (quick guide)
- POCT09—Instrument selection (worksheet)
 - Clinical needs assessment
 - Testing system specifications



POCT07 Companion Product





POCT08 Companion Product





POCT10-A2, Physician and Nonphysician Provider-Performed Microscopy Testing; Approved Guideline—Second Edition



General Information

- Safety personal protective equipment, hand hygiene, workspace disinfection, medical waste, and chemical safety
- Microscope operation and maintenance
- Quality assurance training and competency of the provider, procedure manual, QC, proficiency testing, and accreditation



Procedures Included in POCT10-A2

- Fecal leukocyte examination
- Fern test
- Nasal smears for inflammatory cells
- Pinworm examinations
- Postcoital, direct, qualitative examinations of cervical mucus
- Qualitative semen analysis
- Urine sediment examination
- Wet mount preparations and potassium hydroxide (KOH) preparations



Consistent Layout of Procedures

- Principle
- Materials
- Specimen collection
- Testing procedure
- Quality control
- Reporting results
- Limitations of the procedure



Appendixes in POCT10-A2

- Microscopic components of urine sediment
- Microscopic components in vaginal fluid and KOH preparations
- Ectoparasites
- List of figures and tables



Updates to POCT10-A2

- A reorganized and more comprehensive section (Section 14) for wet preparations, identifying differences between wet preparation for vaginal and nonvaginal procedures
- Representative pictures (or images) for as many procedures as possible, to facilitate training programs using this document as a teaching tool
- To complement the inclusion of so many images, the creation of Appendix C to help the reader identify and locate the images within the document

POCT10-A2 Companion Products



Checklists

- Cumulative training
- Employee training

Provider-Performed MicroscopyTraining Checklist: Use for Initial or Renewal Competence/Validation

| Employee Training Checklist | |
|---|---|
| Employee Mane: Employee Id | antification # |
| Employee Name Employee to | |
| Location where employee will perform the following PPM testing: | |
| PPM test | |
| Circle role of employee: assisting/performing | |
| Instructions: Observer (ie, trainer/preceptor/manager) writes in YES, NO, or has been demonstrated: | N/A in front of skills where competence |
| I Can locate policy/procedure; has read policy/procedure for thi | s PPM test. |
| 2 Can collect/assemble all equipment, reagents, and supplies need | led for this FPM test. |
| Knows collection and storage requirements for both patient sp used in this PPM test; is able to reconstitute or otherwise prep products/reagents. | ecimens and products/reagents/supplies are and process patient samples and |
| Able to perform quality control if the role is to perform the PF of quality control if the role is to assist but not perform the PF | PM test; able to assist in performance M test |
| 5 Knows corrective action to take and how to document unacce | ptable quality control. |
| δ Reports, communicates, and documents patient test results and | d interpretations according to policy. |
| Knows appropriate PPE to wear to perform or assist in perfor | ming this PPM test. |
| 8 Other: | |
| I will follow all policies and standards as required at this facility: | |
| Employee Signature: | DATE / / |
| Title: | _ |
| Observer (Trainer/Validator): | DATE / / |
| Tide: | _ |
| Retain in employee file or site competence file as determined in retention policy: | |
| Abbreviations: N/A, not applicable; PPE personal protective equipment; PPM, provider-performed (| miarosoopy. |
| Source: CLSI document POCTIO-A2, Physician and Nonphysician Provid Approved Guideline—Second Edition. | er-Performed Microscopy Testing; |
| O Clinical and I shorestory Standards by thits - All rights researced | Page 1 of |



CLINICAL AND LABORATORY STANDARDS

Forms

- Proficiency testing exception response form
- Microscope maintenance log

| or use for microscopic () only |) | | | V | Comone. |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|-----------------|---------|
| | | | | | |
| Location: | | | Response date: | | |
| PT program: | | | Medical director for testing: | | |
| Test performed: | | | | | |
| Unacceptable result reported: | | | | | |
| Acceptable result: | | | | | |
| Analysis of unacceptable result: | | | | | |
| Clerical Error | Yes | No | | Yes | No |
| Misunderstood or did not read history | | | On the part of the reviewer | | |
| On the part of the person performing the test | | | On the part of the PT provider | | |
| That coust include and conclusions as colo | ource | ofErro | r: Assessment: Please answer the folk | owing | |
| question specifically: If this had been a patient sa patient? Conclusions: random error; training issu | o urce Imple, h Je, PT n | of Erro Iow wor naterial, | r: Assessment: Please answer the folk Ild this error have impacted the care o etc. | owing of the | |
| question specifically: If this had been a patient sa patient? Conclusions: random error; training issu Director signature: | o urce umple, h ue, PT n | of Erro Iow wo naterial, | r: Assessment: Please answer the folk Ild this error have impacted the care of etc. | owing of the | |
| question specifically: If this had been a patient a patient? Conclusions: random error; training issu Director signature: | ource mple, h e, PT n | of Errc ow wo nateral, | r: Assessment: Please answer the folk III this error have impacted the care of etc. Date: | owing of the | |
| question specifically: If this had been a patient sa patient? Conclusions: random error; training issu Director signature: Abbreviation: PT, proficiency testing. Source: CLSI document POCTII0-A2, PM Approve | ource Imple, h R, PT n | of Errc pow wo naterial, | In Assessment: Please answer the folk Id this error have impacted the care of etc. | owing of the | |



Wall Charts

- Microscopic components in urine sediment
- Microscopic components in vaginal fluid and KOH
 preparations
 Microscopic Components in Urine Sediment
- Ectoparasites





Procedures

- Fecal leukocyte examination
- Nasal smears for inflammatory cells
- Urine sediment examinations
- Wet mount and KOH preparations

Nasal Smears for Inflammatory Cells (Also Known as "Nasal Smear for Eosinophils," "Nasal White Blood Cells," and "Nasal Smear for Granulocytes")

Details related to materials (ie, supplies, equipment, and reagents), specimen collection, specimen handling, quality control, and limitations of this procedure can be found in Section 9, Nasal Smears for Infammatory (Bells, in CLS) document POCTIO.

Testing Procedure

- (I) To prepare the smear, transfer a sample of the produced mucus with a cotton swab onto a glass microscope slide labeled with the patient's name and a unique identifier.
- (2) A thin smear is essential. A simple test is to check whether standard print can be read through the smeared material. Place the slide over standard print if the print can still be read through the slide, the prep is acceptable for staining. Identifying elements of cellular detail will be difficult to determine if the smear is too thick.

(3) Air-dry the smean

- (4) Stain the smear using either a commercially prepared Wright-Giema stain or a Hansel stain. NOTE: Commercial for are readily available for the rapid Wright-Giema stain technique and include instructions for use, along with references.
- (5) Microscopically examine the smear for cellular components. With low-power scanning, make rough qualitative counts by approximating the average number of polymorphonuclear cells. Determine whether the kultocytes seen are neutrophils or ecosinophils.
- (6) Neutrophils are recognized by their segmented or lobulated (two to five lobes) nuclei connected by a thin filament of chromatin. The abundant cytoplasm is pale pink or colorless and contains many fine, lilac-colored, neutrophilic granules. Eosinophils are recognized by their bright orange-red, spherical granules. There is typically a bilobed nucleus separated by a thin filament, but occasionally more than two lobes may be seen. The granules are larger than neutrophilic granules.
- (7) Wright-Gema bloodstains may yeld bluish granules in eosinophils, while the granules will appear bright red using a Hansel stain, and the neutrophils and mucous debris will have a blue color (see figure below).

Reporting Results

Report the presence or absence of eosinophils and neutrophils



Neutrophils and Eosinophils in Nasal Smear (40x). (Courtesy of the University of Texas Medical Branch). Neutrophils indicated by back arrows. Eosinophils indicated by white arrows.

Source: CLSI document POCTIO-A2, Physician and Nonphysician Provider-Performed Microscopy Testing; Approved Guideline—Second Edition.

© Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. All rights reserved. www.dsi.org





Summary

- CLSI is an internationally recognized, consensus-based standards organization that produces a large number of documents and related materials.
- Document development is a highly organized, systematic process involving a balanced approach by all stakeholders.
- QMS improve laboratory practice.
- Documents are applicable in laboratory standardization, preparation for inspection and accreditation, and in improving the quality of results and patient care.
- POCT documents can provide guidance as well as tools to help create and maintain a comprehensive program.

How to Contact CLSI

- Web: www.CLSI.org
- E-mail: customerservice@clsi.org
- Customer Service: 1.610.688.0100
- David Sterry
- <u>dsterry@clsi.org</u>
- Phone: 484.588.5942



Thank you.

